ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET SUBJECT: (Optional) Commission Reports to Secretary and Congress DD/A Registry FROM: DATE Chief, Policy and Coordination Staff 3 January 1989 TO: (Officer designation, room number, and DATE OFFICER'S COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) 1 Deputy Director for Administration 18×18 3 7D24 HQS 6. 7. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

STAT

STAT

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/11/20 : CIA-RDP92G00017R000900210001-5

For Release: Contact:

Thursday, December 29, 1988, 10:00 a.m.

tact: Jim Abbee (202) 653-0180

COMMISSION REPORTS TO SECRETARY AND CONGRESS

As required by its charter and endorsing legislation, the Commission on Base Realignment and Closure made its report today following five public hearings, visits to 44 installations by members and staff, review of the Overseas Basing Study, and numerous executive sessions. The Commission's report affects 145 installations, including 86 for closure, five for partial closure, and 54 others for realignment. The recommendations reflect a review of over 4200 properties. The Commission used the military value of the basing structure as its primary focus but also included environmental and socioeconomic considerations.

The Commission believes that the realignments of bases will improve the effectiveness of the base structure and will also save an estimated \$693.6 million a year in base operating costs with a 20-year net present value of \$5.6 billion.

Recommendations were made regarding reductions at the following installations:

ARMY

- o Fort Dix, New Jersey, to semi-active status
- o Fort Douglas, Utah, to close
- o Cameron Station, Virginia, to close
- o Presidio of San Francisco, California, to close
- o Coosa River Annex, Alabama, to close
- o Navajo Depot Activity, Arizona, to close
- o Fort Wingate, New Mexico, to close
- o Former Nike Site Aberdeen, Maryland, to close
- o Fort Des Moines, Iowa, to close in part

- o Lexington Depot, Kentucky, to close
- o Pontiac Storage Facility, Michigan, to close
- o Alabama Ammunition Plant, Alabama, to close
- o New Orleans Military Ocean Terminal, Louisiana, to close
- o Fort Sheridan, Illinois, to close
- o Army Material Technology Laboratory, Massachusetts, to close
- o 52 Stand-Alone Housing Installations in thirteen states, to close
- o Tacony Warehouse, Pennsylvania, to close
- o Hamilton Army Airfield, California, to close
- o Jefferson Proving Ground, Indiana, to close
- o Nike Philadelphia 41/43, New Jersey, to close
- o Nike Kansas City 30, Missouri, to close
- o Cape St. George, Florida, to close
- o Kapalama Military Reservation Phase III, Hawaii, to close
- o Umatilla Army Depot, Oregon, for realignment
- o Pueblo Army Depot, Colorado, for realignment
- o Fort Meade, Maryland, to close in part
- o Fort Holabird, Maryland, to close in part

NAVY

- o Naval Station New York (Brooklyn), New York, to close
- o Naval Station Puget Sound (Sand Point), Washington, to close

- o Naval Station San Francisco (Hunters Point), California, not to be constructed
- o Naval Hospital Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to close
- o Naval Station Galveston, Texas, to close
- o Naval Station Lake Charles, Louisiana, to close

AIR FORCE

- o Chanute Air Force Base, Illinois, to close
- o George Air Force Base, California, to close
- o Mather Air Force Base, California, to close
- o Norton Air Force Base, California, to close
- o Pease Air Force Base, New Hampshire, to close

MISCELLANEOUS PROPERTIES

- Defense Mapping Agency Herndon, Virginia, to close
- o Bennett Army National Guard Facility, Colorado, to close
- o Army Reserve Center Gaithersburg, Maryland, to close
- o Salton Sea Test Base, California, to close
- o Naval Reserve Center (Coconut Grove), Florida, to close
- o Indiana Army Ammunition Plant, Indiana, to close in part

The Commission recommended consolidations at a number of installations that will result in overall improvement to defense operations. The following bases would receive significant increases in personnel:

ARMY

- o Fort Belvoir, Virginia
- o Fort Ben Harrison, Indiana
- o Fort Devens, Massachusetts
- o Fort Jackson, South Carolina
- o Letterkenny Depot, Pennsylvania

NAVY

- o Naval Station Everett, Washington
- o Naval Station Ingleside, Texas
- o Naval Station Pearl Harbor, Hawaii
- o Naval Station Staten Island, New York

AIR FORCE

- o Cannon Air Force Base, New Mexico
- o March Air Force Base, California
- o McChord Air Force Base, Washington
- o Mt. Home Air Force Base, Idaho
- o Sheppard Air Force Base, Texas

In order to facilitate the implementation of these recommendations, the Commission made several suggestions to Congress for legislative relief, including: the capitalization of the Base Closure Account to a minimum if \$300 million in FY90; the enactment of special reprogramming rules to permit transfer of other Department of Defense funds into the Account as necessary; statutory relief from Section 207(a)(2)(B) of the Base Closure Act which would restrict the Secretary from transferring funds into the Account from other DoD accounts except as provided in appropriations acts; and statutory relief from provisions of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1940 that currently restrict the Secretary from expeditious disposition of properties.

Several recommendations were also included for the Secretary of Defense and the Administration during the execution of the recommendations, including: the removal of regulatory impediments to the sale of excess land, and endorsement of the current Office of Management and Budget policy that real property transfers between Federal agencies be accomplished at fair-market value; that DoD accelerate disposal of "salable" land in order to get proceeds in the Closure Account rapidly; that the Secretary make disbursements from the Account in proportion to the individual Services' contributions to the Account and to their expected savings from closures.

The Commission's chief recommendation regarding the future process for selecting bases for closure was that the Secretary of Defense be the chief decision maker, but that he have an external advisory board of senior experts to judge the quality of proposed closure lists. Such a panel would be appointed by the Secretary in consultation with Congress.

Several issues for future study were recommended by the Commissioners. The panel suggested that the Department of Defense study for closure, government-owned, contractor-operated defense plants, a category of property not included for study by the Commissioners. They also suggested that funds remaining in the Closure Account, after realignments are completed, be used to lease or purchase land to expand air-ground training areas in sparsely settled parts of the Western United States.

Military laboratories should be more carefully scrutinized, according to the report. It recommends a DoD task force to review ongoing activities and the relationship between in-house versus contract work. Reserve facilities were also the focus of a recommendation for future study by the Department, since current statutes would not guarantee that land proceeds would cover relocations costs should the Commission make recommendations.

Among the innovative real-estate transactions endorsed was a proposal now being studied by DoD and the Congress for public-private development of the Engineer Proving Ground at Fort Belvoir, Virginia. Such innovations could increase land proceeds to the Department and save leased-space costs in high-rent areas.

###

CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT EFFECTS BY INSTALLATION AND STATE

													1
=====	: ====================================	==== 	=======	222723	DEFENS	E PERSO	DNNEL IMP	eeeeeeee RCTS	======== 	=======================================	SAVÍNGS/PA		
İ	i	. 1	======						======= 	ONE			20 YR NET !
1	1	1	PERSONN	EL	PERSO		STATE DE		IMPACT BY STATE	TIME	ANNUAL	PAYBACK	PRESENT
ł	INSTALLATION]	OUT		1N		•		======= ======		SAVINGS	PERIOD	VALUE
1	AND/OR		======= 411		===== MIL		•		(PERCENT)	M\$	M\$	(YRS)	(#\$)
SVC=	OPTION	ST 	#IL ======			-====	 =======		=======		=======	=======	=======
=====	ALASKA	AK]	0	0	 0		22,127	5,513	•				1
l I	I	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	•	•	· · · ·		 I		i				
I I A PMY	 ALABAMA PLANT	AL	0	1	. U	. 0	j.		1	1.24	0.03	0	1.52
ARMY	COOSA RIVER	AL	0	0	0	0	I		1	1.46	0.10	0	2.50
•	•	AL	0	0	0	0	1	:	1 1				!
ARMY	RED STONE ARSENAL	AL]	_	0	0	31	ĺ		1 1				. !
. [ALABAMA	i	0	1	0	31	23,825	27,445	0.06%				1
i	1	i	•		1.		I		1				1
AF	LEAKER AFB	AR	0	0	16	0	1		1				
i	ARKANSAS	AR	0	0	16	0	9,793	5,038	0.11%	•			- 1
i	Ì	1			1		1		1				I
AF	DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB	AZ	923	40	440	17	1						l I
ARMY	[FT HUACHUCA	AZ	799	1,233	499	917	1.			44.70	3.05	4	20.59 [
ARMY	NAVAJO DEPOT	AZ	0	5	0	. 0	•		1 .	-11.20	3.05	•	20.57
ARMY	YUMA PROVING GRND	AZ		0	1 0	277	•			,			
1	ARIZONA	AZ	1,722	1,278	939	1,211	24,880	10,708	-2.39%				, 1
1	1	1			1		1 -						1
AF	BEALE AFB	CA [0	0	588	193	1			77 (0	70.20	0	471.00
[AF	GEORGE AFB	CA	4,852	506	•	0	•			-37.60	0.15		7.60
ARMY	[HAMILTON AAF	CA		3	•	0	•		1	6.85	8.00	_	83.60
•	HUNTERS POINT NS	CA		93 ,	•	0	•		1	1 0.00	. 0.00		•
•	LONG BEACH NS	CA		0	•	5	•		1				
IAF	MARCH AFB	CA			[2,238	-	•		1	-37.40	78.70	1	522.40
AF.	MATHER AFB	CA	_	1,012		0 209	:		1	1			
AF	MCCLELLAN AFB	CA		0. 2,133	•	.0	•		1	-132.40	67.90	3	322.70
AF	NORTON AFB	CA		3,150		0	1		1	313.84	74.08	. 0	1018.33
•	PRESIDIO SF	CA CA		0,100		. 0	1		i	1.20	0.00	0	1.20
	SALTON SEA TEST BASE	CA			1,473		1		i	i I			
•	ISAN DIEGO NS	CA			138	776			i	i .			
ĮAKMI	ISF AREA FACILITIES						206,495	137,677	-5.04%	i ·			
1	CALIFORNIA	CA [17,050	0,071	1	٠,50.	1		1	}			
1 ADMY	[FT CARSON	co	0	0	312	388	: 		i]			
AF	LOWRY AFB	col		0		149	•		i	İ			
•	ING BENNETT	col		0	•	0	•		1 .	2.00	0.00) 0	2.00
•	PUEBLO DEPOT	col		542	•	0	•		1	-33.86	15.45	3	91.77
	COLORADO	co		542	-		42,709	14,458	0.94%	1			
1	1						1		1	1			
	CONNECTICUT	CT	. 0	0	0	0	7,223	4,890	0.00%	I			
İ		ľ	-		i I		1		1	1			
ì	DELAWARE	DE	0	. 0	jó	0	4,818	1,907	0.00%	1 .			
1	1	1					1		1	1			
'	•	'			•								

PAGE 1 OF

CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT EFFECTS BY INSTALLATION AND STATE

=====	: ====================================	=== 	=======				PERRETE ONNEL 1M	SAVINGS/PAYGACK						
	 INSTALLATION	: !	PERSONI OUT	ΝĖL	PERSON	NNEL	STATE PRES	DEFENSE ENCE	IMPACT BY STATE	1,1ME ONE		PAYBAC <	20 YE NOT	
. Isvc	AND/OR OPTION	ST			WIL ''		į HIĽ	CIV	(PERCENT)	M\$	M\$	(YRS)	(M\$)	
===== 	=====================================	=== DC		- 0	1 0		====== 13,048		0.00%		#======	========	 	
1		1		·	i		1	•	i i				11.70	
•	INRC MIAMI	FL			1		!			14.30	0.00		14.30 0.64	
ARMY	CAPE ST GEORGE, FL	FL	•	. 0	1 0	0	 	77 7//	0.00	0.64	0.00	0	0.04	
]	FLORIDA	FL]	0	0	1 0	0	75,713 	33,344	0.00%		.		į	
ARMY	FT MCPHERSON	GA J	4	17	0	0	i		i i				!	
1	GEORGIA	GA	. 4	17	. 0	0	63,909	40,231	-0.02%				[
· LARMY	 KAPALAMA MIL RES	 IH	0	0	{ 0	. 0]]		1	-10.99	0.00	0	18.98	
•	FT SHAFTER/SCHOFIELD	HI			•	0	i i		[1	
•	PEARL HARBOR NS	ні	0	.0	2,267	30	1		[!	
1	[HAWA11	HI	0	0	2,267	30	45,396	20,682	3.48%				 	
 AF	 MT-HOME AFB	1 101	1,102	57	 2,961	147	 		 	• •	•		i	
i	IDAHO	10			2,961	147	5,877	1,378	26.86%				į	
1	I ALL AND A SER		2 477	1 075		0	1			-139.40	68.70	. 3	369.30 [
AF	CHANUTE AFB	IL		1,035		0 79	i I		1 1	137.40	00.10	_	1	
	CHICAGO LEASED SPACE	11			•	0	i i		1	4.13	40.78	0	378.40	
1	ILLINOIS	IL	-	2,716	•		37,250	22,075	-9.70%				1	
1	!	١			1		1		1				1	
I IARMY	 FT DESMOINES	IA]	0	0	i i 0	0	! 1		1	2.00	0.00	0	2.00	
• 1	IOWA	IA		0	, 0	0	380	1,543	0.00%				!	
1	1		27	40	1 (70	717	1		1	l			1	
•	FT BEN HARRISON IINDIANA AMMO	IN		10 0	630 1 0	716 0	l t		1	I 0.45	0.00	0	0.45	
•	JEFFERSON PG	NI N1		387	1 0	0	4 1		1	-51.70	6.56		34.40	
	INDIANA	IN			•		6,543	15,203	4.23%	ĺ			1	
!	1	1		_	!	_		7 250	1 0 00*)	
`.l	KANSAS ·	KS		0	[0	U	23,127 	7,258	0.00%	! ! .			1	
ARMY	FORT KNOX	KY		0	302	75	1		į.				1	
ARMY	LEXINGTON DEPOT	KY	37	1,131	0	0,	1		1	-45.42	6.67	6	23.49	
1	KENTUCKY	KY	37	1,131	302	75	39,196	14,273	-1.48%				1	
INAVY	 LAKE CHARLES NS	LA]	338	24	l ! 0	0	[•	 -1	5.00	1.20	•0	17.50	
	NEW ORLEANS MOT "	LA			•	0	1		1	0.00	1.00		15.20	
1	LOUISIANA	LA			•		1.25,249	-9,059	-1.07%	1		٠	1	
1							•		1	47	7 17	0	ا 81.80	
ARMY	AMTL LAB	MA	8	540	0	0	1		I	-6.67	,7.13	U	01.00 }	

PAGE 2 OF 5

CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT EFFECTS BY INSTALLATION AND STATE

PERSONNEL PERSONNEL STATE DEFENSE IMPACT ONE 20 TR INSTALLATION OUT 1N PRESENCE BY STATE TIME ANNUAL PAYBACK PRESENCE INSTALLATION OUT 1N PRESENCE BY STATE TIME ANNUAL PAYBACK PRESENCE INSTALLATION OUT 1N PRESENCE BY STATE TIME ANNUAL PAYBACK PRESENCE INSTALLATION OUT OUT OUT OUT OUT INSTALLATION OUT OUT OUT OUT INSTALLATION OUT OUT OUT OUT INSTALLATION OUT OUT OUT OUT OUT OUT OUT INSTALLATION OUT OUT OUT OUT OUT OUT OUT OUT INSTALLATION OUT	=====		=====	DEFENSE PERSONNEL IMPACTS								=====================================					
INSTALLATION	1	1	ı	=======						========	=======						
AND OR	1	INSTALLATION	. !		IEL	•		•		•		ANNUAL	PAÝBACK	20 YR NET PRESENT			
ARMY FT DEVENS	i	AND/OR	Ì	=======	=====	=====	=====	======	=======	=======================================	SAVINGS	SAVINGS	PERIOD	VALUE			
ARMY FT DEVENS		•	ST	MIL										(M\$)			
MASSACHUSSETTS	•	· .				•											
ARMY FT DETRICK			MA	1,917	1,151	1,156	2,784	8,355	12,090	4.27%	<u>.</u>		•				
ARRY FT NOLASIRD	1	 MAINE	ME	0	0	 0	0	 5,849 	10,623	0.00%				-			
ARMY FT HOLABIRD] [ARMY	i FT DETRICK	I MD [ó	0	142	99	1	-	-							
ARMY FT MEADE	•	•	ï		52	0	0	1		j* 1							
ARHY USARC	•	•			280	0	0	i		1 !	-39.87	21.03	0	167.91			
ARRY NIKE ABERDEEN, MD	•	•		_	0	0	0	1		1 - 1	0.45			C.45			
ARMY DETROIT TANK PLANT MI 0 0 1 100	•	•	MD	0	0	0	0	1		1	0.02	0.00	0	0.02			
ARRY PONTIAC STORAGE	İ	MARYLAND	MD	240	332	142	99	36,726	43,458	-0.41%	 			:			
ARMY PONTIAC STORAGE	 ARMY	 DETROIT TANK PLANT	HI	0	0	l 1	100	! 	-	1 1							
MICHIGAN	•	•	MI	0	0	0	0	l	•		-3.45	0.50	. 6	1.78			
MINNESOTA		-	HI	0	0	32	0	1 .		-							
ARMY FT LEONARD MOOD MO 0 0 0 300 52	İ	MICHIGAN	ні	0	0	33	100	9,300	12,892	0.60%							
ARMY NIKE KANSAS	1	 MINNESOTA	HN	0	0	 0	0	901	2,884	0.00%							
AFMY NIKE KANSAS	I IARMY	 FT LEONARD WOOD	I IOM	0	0	1 1 300	52	! 		. i							
AF KEESLER AFB		*	МО	0	0	· 0	0	1.		1	0.05	0.00	0	0.05			
MISSISPPI	İ	MISSOURI	МО	0	0	300	52	15,691	20,874	0.96%							
MISSISSIPPI MS	i IAF	KEESLER AFB	I MS	0	0	 114	77	1		1							
NEBRASKA NE	•	•	•		0	114	77	17,470	11,471	0.66%	· .						
	1	[HONTANA] тн	0	0	[0	0	 4,018	1,304	0.00%	 						
New Hampshire	1.	 NEBRASKA	NE	0	0	 0	0	 13,498	4,004	0.00%							
New Hampshire	1	105105 150		2 750	/00	1 ^	0	1		1	I I 147 80	95.70	0	1031.10			
Note 1: The Portsmouth Shipyard (Kittering, Maine, 10 miles north of Pease) employs 8000 DoD personnel. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport.	•		:			1		1 / 1/7	1 524	1 -46 759		,,,,,	·				
north of Pease) employs 8000 DoD personnel. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 3: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 3: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 3: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 4: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 4: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 5: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 5: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 5: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport. Note 5: Pease has high probability of	I	•	•			•		•	1,320	1 40.134	I						
Note 2: Pease has high probability of re-use as a regional airport.	1 .	· ·						10 m1(C3	•					•			
	1	•						egional a	irport.								
ARMY FT MONMOUTH	1	Note 2. rease has	11911	000011	, 01	1	,	1		1	1						
ARMY FT MONMOUTH	l IARMY	I IFT DIX	! 1 קון	3 140	1 516	1 1 0	0	1		i.	-245.00	84.47	5	527.93			
ARMY NIKE PHIL NJ 0 0 0 0 1.20 - 0.00 0 ARMY PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 0 0 1 135	•		:		•		_	1	•	1	,						
ARMY PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 0 0 1 135	1	•		_		•		ľ		1	1.20	- 0.00	0	1.20			
20 (20 1 0 77%)	•	•		•	-			1 .		1	1						
I then server that aleas these thinks the term of the			:			1		1 19,673	28.680	· [-9.77%		•					
	1	Inca octor:		2,200	.,	i '		1		i	.						

PAGE 3 OF 5.

CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT EFFECTS BY INSTALLATION AND STATE .

1		t	2522277	=====	_======	=====		=======	=======	========	========	========	:=========	1
=====		1			DEFENS	E PERS	ONNEL IMP	ACTS	1	SAVINGS/PAYBACK				
!	1	1	•								=======			
!	. 3	. !	PERSONN	EL ,	PERSO	NNEL	STATE D		IMPACT	ONE TIME	1311444	PAYBACK	20 YR NET	1
ļ	INSTALLATION	Į	OUT		IN		PRESE	=	BY STATE		SAVINGS		VALUE	1
 -	AND/OR	•			====== MIL		 MIĽ		(PERCENT)		M\$	(YRS)	(MS)	1
SVC =====	' OPTION ====================================	ST ====												i
AF	CANNON AFB	` NM			1,102	57	_		1					1
ARMY	FT WINGATE	NM	_. 2	90	0	U			1 · 1	-10.54	5.18	1	43.38	!
AF	KIRTLAND AFB	HH	. 0	. 0	346	138	•		1			-		į.
1	NEW HEXICO	NM	2	90	1,448	195	16,567	10,132	5.81%					ļ
l	1	1			ł	•	1		[1
ARMY	HAWTHORNE AMMO PLANT	NV		0	1 0	34								!
ARHY	NELLIS AFB	NV		0		0								1
1	NEVADA .	NV	0	0	12	34	9,955 	22,445	0.14%		•			l l
INAVY	I BROOKLYN NS	I NY	244	544	! [0	0	1 1		! 	8.30	4.15	0	42.32	i
	PLATTSBURG AFB	HY		0	101	3	·		İ					1
• •	STATEN ISLAND NS	NY !		0	244	544	İ							1
•	NEW YORK	NY		544	345	547	27,040	19,484	0.22%					1
	ANODEN CAROLENA	101	0	0		0	94,786	16,166	 0.00%					1
1	NORTH CAROLINA	NC	0	0	[0	U	1 94,780 1	10,100	1 0.00%	\ 				į
	NORTH DAKOTA	ND	0	0	0	0	11,076	1,616	0.00%	. •				1
!		- 1	_		!		1	27 422						
1 1	OKLAHOMA I	OK Į	0	0	[0 I	U	30,786 I	26,129] 0.00% 					ì
i i	онто Онто	ОН	0	0	, 0	0	11,780	34,130	0.00%					1
1	<u> </u>	!	_	444			į		!	-25.91	6.27	6	28.56	1
•	UMATILLA DEPOT	OR [161	•	0	740	. 7 100	! -4.15%		0.21	Ū	20.70	ì
1 1	OREGON 	OR į	3	161	0 1	0	· 760 ·	3,190	-4.13 <i>~</i> 					i
ARHY	LETTERKENNY DEPOT	PA	0	0	8	528	ĺ		İ					1
NAVY	PHILADELPHIA HOSPITAL	PA	474	126	0	0	· ·		! !	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	•
ARMY	TACONY WAREHOUSE	PA	0	0	0	0	1		[[2.50	0.00	0	2.50	İ
ARMY	TOBYHANNA DEPOT	PA	0	0	24	410	·		'					ı
1	PENNSYLVANIA	PA	474	126	32	938	6,600	57,340	0.58%					1
1 1	RHODE ISLAND	RI	0	.0	! ! 0	0	 3,941	4,588	l 0.00%]					i
i			•					•						1
ARMY	FT JACKSON	scl	0	0	661	126			·					1.
	SOUTH CAROLINA	scl	0	0	661	126	44,629	20,735	1.20%					ľ
[]	SOUTH DAKOTA	SD	0	0	0	0	6,744	1,463	 0.00%					1
		35 <u> </u>	v	- 1			-,. • •	.,					•	İ
1 1	TENNESSEE .	TN	. 0	0	0	0	10,549	7,696	0.00%					1
1 1	DEDOCTOOM ACD	701	0	1	027	/0								
	BERGSTROM AFB	TX	0	0		40	•		ι ί 1					
AF [CARSWELL AFB	TX	0	0	32	0	l		, ,					1

PAGE 4 OF 5

CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT EFFECTS BY INSTALLATION AND STATE

[=====		===	======		=====	:======	=======		========	=======	========	=======	========	
i	İ	1					ONNEL IM		•	SAVINGS/PAYBACK				
1	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								: IMPACT	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =			20 YR NET	
1		ļ	PERSONN	EL	PERSC		STATE PRESI		BY STATE		ANNUAL	PAYBACK		
	INSTALLATION	l I	001		•		•		=====================================	ı	SAVINGS	PERIOD	VALUE	
Leve	AND/OR OPTION	I ST I			MIL	CIV	MIL		(PERCENT)		MS	(YRS)	(M3)	
SVC ====	•	ןיי 1===					•				=======		=======================================	
•	FT BLISS	TX		83	-	0]		•		1	
•	GALVESTON NS	ŤΧ		45	-	0	•	•		8.00	2.50	0	34.10	
AF	GOOD FELLOW AFB	TX	_	0	132	85					•		i	
•	INGLESIDE NS	TX	_	0	830	46	ĺ	•	!			•	i	
•	RED RIVER DEPOT	TX	. 0	0	0	63			[ļ	
]AF	SHEPPARD AFB	TX	0	0	528	337] :		[• :		ļ	
i	TEXAS	TX	730	128	2,445	571	135,071	66,956	1.07%					
1	1	1			1		1		1			_		
ARMY	FT DOUGLAS	UT	174	235	0	0	1			0.15	0.25	6	1.40	
ARHY	SALT LAKE CITY AREA	UT	0	0	148	76			1				!	
ARMY	TOOELE DEPOT	UT	0	0	0	82	ĺ		1					
1	HATU	UT	174	235	148	158	6,044	22,262	-0.36%				i	
1	1	1											ļ	
1	VERMONT .	VT	0	0	0	0	68	641	0.00%				i	
1	1				!	•			į	 -61.71	13.26	6	60.60	
	CAMERON STATION	VA		4,355		0		·:	} !	0.30	0.07		0.89	
•	DMA HERNDON	VA	207	12	•	0 4 711	l*		i 1	1	••••			
•	IFT BELVOIR	VA	293	1,390		4,711 48	 		1					
•	IFT LEE	VA VA		0 5,757	•		• .	108,638	-0.41%					
1	VIRGINIA	471	030	3,131	1 110	4,137		.00,000	1]	
INAVY	I EVERETT NS	WA	0	o´	345	331	1 . 1		1					
•	FAIRCHILD AFB	WA	0	0		.0	•		1					
•	FT LEWIS	WA	0	0		7	•		i					
•	MCCHORD AFB	WA	0	0		137	i		į					
•	SAND POINT NS	WA	345	331		. 0	İ		1	12.58	5.60	4	22.42	
•	WASHINGTON	WA	345	331	1,239		43,289	29,785	1.42%					
i	İ	i			İ		ĺ	•	1				1	
i	WEST VIRGINIA	W	0	0	0	0	420	1,647	0.00%				ļ	
i	1	İ			1		1		1				İ	
1 .	WISCONSIN	WI	0	0	0	0	884	3,336.	0.00%					
1		Į			1		I		1				į	
1	WYOMING	WY [0	0	0.	0	4,141	1,179	0.00%					
1	1	I			[l	•	1			***	#00 C7 (
1	STAND ALONE HOUSING(52	SITE	S)		1		1		L'.	\$77.60	\$4.90	NA	\$99.07	
										/#301 AA+	#407 FO	414	\$5,567.35	
	GRAND TÓTALS							٠,	•	(\$281.08)	\$077.27	, NA	נכ. וטכ, כב	